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## JACKSON HIGHWAY TINY HOMES TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main goals of this study focus on the analysis of existing roadway conditions and forecasts of newly generated project traffic. The first task includes the review of general roadway information on the adjacent street system, baseline vehicular volumes, and entering sight distance data. Forecasts of future traffic and dispersion patterns on the street system are then determined using established trip generation and distribution techniques. As a final step, appropriate conclusions and mitigation measures are defined.

## 2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Jackson Highway Tiny Homes is a proposed tiny home community consisting of up to 56 dwelling units located in the Chehalis Urban Growth Area of Lewis County. The subject property is located south of Armstrong Road and northeast of Jackson Highway on a cumulative 8.34-acres within undeveloped tax parcel \#'s: 01780800-1044; \& -1006. Access to the subject site is to be provided via one new driveway extending northeast from Jackson Highway. A site aerial is provided below. Figure 1 on the following page identifies the adjacent street system and general project vicinity. A conceptual site plan of the project is presented in Figure 2.




## 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 3.1 Existing Roadway Characteristics

The major roadways and arterials defined in the study area are listed and described below.

Jackson Highway: is a northwest-southeast, two-lane arterial partially bordering the subject site partially to the southwest. Travel lanes are approximately 10- to 11-feet in width. Paved shoulders 4 - to 8 -feet in width are provided along either side of the roadway. No non-motorist facilities are present in the area. The roadway has a posted speed limit of $40-\mathrm{mph}$ in the vicinity of the subject site.

Logan Hill Road: is a southwest-northeast, two-lane local roadway located northwest of the subject site. Travel lanes are approximately 10 -feet in width. No formal shoulder treatment or non-motorist infrastructure is provided along the majority of the roadway. The posted speed limit is $40-\mathrm{mph}$.

### 3.2 Pedestrian and Bicycle Activity

During field observations, no non-motorist transport was observed along Jackson Highway or Logan Hill Road. The area is rural in nature with limited walkable amenities. No significant increase with respect to non-motorist transport would be expected from the development given the limited non-motorist infrastructure in the vicinity of the subject site.

### 3.3 Existing Peak Hour Volumes and Patterns

Field data for this study was collected in July of 2021. Traffic counts were taken at the intersection of Jackson Highway \& Logan Hill Road, which would receive the bulk of the anticipated vehicular demands. Data was obtained during the evening peak period between the hours of 4 PM to 6 PM, which generally translates to highest overall roadway volumes in a given 24 -hour period. The one hour reflecting highest overall roadway volumes (peak hour) was then derived from these counts. Through-volumes along the proposed Jackson Highway project frontage were extrapolated from the administered count. Existing PM peak hour volumes at the study intersection and along the project frontage are illustrated in Figure 3 on the following page. Full-count sheets have been included in the appendix.


### 3.4 Public Transit

A review of the Twin Transit regional bus schedule indicates that The Red Line provides service in the vicinity of the subject site. While the nearest stop in relation to the development is provided at Rush Road \& Maurin Road (1.1 miles northwest), riders may flag down a bus at any safe and visible area along the route. Weekday service is provided from 6:00 AM - 7:00 PM (60-minute headways) while weekend service is provided from 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM (60-minute headways). Refer to the Twin Transit bus schedule for further details.

### 3.5 Roadway Improvements

A review of the City of Chehalis Six-Year (2021-2026) Transportation Improvement Program indicates that no projects are planned in the general area of the subject site. A review of the Lewis County Six-Year (2021-2026) Transportation Improvement Program indicates the following planned projects in the general area.

Rush Road Improvements (Bishop Road to s/o Holloway Drive; Priority \#15): This project entails a major widening of the roadway to include curb, gutter sidewalk and more. Local funds allocated to the project total $\$ 2,280,000$ and construction is to begin in 2023.

Downie Road Extension (southerly extension; Priority \#25): This project entails extending the roadway south to Maurin Road. Federal discretionary funding totals $\$ 1,200,000$ and construction is to begin in 2025.

### 3.6 Site Access \& Driveway Design

As illustrated in the site plan presented in Figure 2, one access extending northeast from Jackson Highway is proposed for site ingress/egress. Field measurements indicate sight lines to exceed 500 feet in either direction on Jackson Highway-meeting applicable code standards ${ }^{1}$. No sight distance deficiencies are identified with the proposed access driveway.

[^0]
### 3.7 Level of Service

Baseline intersection delays were determined through the use of the Highway Capacity Manual6th Edition. Capacity analysis is used to determine level of service (LOS) which is an established measure of congestion for transportation facilities. The range ${ }^{2}$ for intersection level of service is LOS A to LOS F with the former indicating the best operating conditions with low control delays and the latter indicating the worst conditions with heavy control delays. Detailed descriptions of intersection LOS are given in the 2016 Highway Capacity Manual. Level of service calculations were made through the use of the Synchro 10 analysis program. For side-street, stop-controlled intersections, LOS is determined by the approach with the highest delay. Table 1 below presents existing PM peak hour LOS delays for the key outlying intersection of study.

Table 1: Existing PM Peak Hour Level of Service
Delays given in seconds per vehicle

| Intersection | Control | Movement | LOS | Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Logan Hill Road | Stop | SWB | B | 10.5 |

SWB: Southwest-bound
Existing PM peak hour conditions are shown to operate with minimal delays at LOS B indicating stable operations during the critical PM peak hour of travel.

[^1]| Stop Controlled Intersections - Level of Service <br> Control Delay per <br> Level of Service | $\frac{\text { Vehicle }(\mathrm{sec})}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

## 4. FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

### 4.1 Trip Generation

Trip generation is used to determine the magnitude of project impacts on the surrounding street system. This is usually denoted by the quantity or specific number of new trips that enter and exit a project during a designated time period, such as a specific peak hour (AM or PM) or an entire day. Data presented in this report was taken from the Institute of Transportation Engineer's publication Trip Generation, 10th Edition. Given site characteristics associated with the development proposal, the designated land use determined to be most representative of the proposed tiny home community is Multi-Family Housing Low-Rise (LUC 220). Table 2 below summarizes the estimated project trip generation using ITE rates. Included are the average weekday daily traffic (AWDT) and the AM and PM peak hours. Refer to the appendix for trip generation output.

Table 2: Project Trip Generation

| Land Use | Size | AWDT | AM Peak-Hour Trips |  |  | PM Peak-Hour Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Tiny Home Community | 56 unit | 410 | 6 | 20 | 26 | 19 | 12 | 31 |

Based on ITE data, the project is anticipated to generate 410 new average weekday daily trips with 26 AM (6 in / 20 out) peak hour trips and 31 PM (19 in / 12 out) peak hour trips.

### 4.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

Trip distribution describes the anticipated travel routes for inbound and outbound project traffic during the peak hour study period. Trip distribution percentages are based on the location of nearby major arterials and amenities. PM peak hour trips are primarily comprised of commuter-based (returning home) and recreational-based trips. Anticipated PM peak hour distribution percentages and travel routes are illustrated in Figure 4.

### 4.3 Future Peak Hour Volumes

A 5-year horizon of 2026 was used to assess future conditions with project-buildout. Jackson Highway Tiny Homes is to be located within Chehalis' Urban Growth Area. The City is anticipated to grow at an annual rate of $1.50 \%{ }^{3}$ according to the Chehalis Comprehensive Plan (2017). As such, a compound annual growth rate of $1.50 \%$ was applied to the existing volumes illustrated in Figure 3 to derive forecast 2026 background traffic volumes. Moreover, pipeline volumes associated with the Jackson Villas 4 and Jackson Highway Warehouse projects were included in forecast volumes. PM peak hour volumes are illustrated in Figure 5. Forecast 2026 PM peak hour volumes without and with the addition of project-generated traffic are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

[^2]




### 4.4 Future Level of Service

Level of service analyses were made of the future PM peak hour volumes without (background) and with project related trips added to the key roadways and intersections. This analysis once again involved the use of the Synchro 10 analysis program. Delays for the study intersection and access under forecast 2026 PM peak hour conditions are shown below in Table 3.

Table 3: Forecast 2026 PM Peak Hour Level of Service
Delays given in seconds per vehicle

|  |  |  | Background |  | With Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Control | Movement | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
|  <br> Logan Hill Road | Stop | SWB | B | 10.8 | B | 10.9 |
|  <br> Project Access | Stop | NWB | - | - | B | 10.7 |

SWB: Southwest-bound NWB: Northwest-bound

Forecast 2026 PM peak hour Level of Service at the proposed access and study intersection are shown to operate at LOS B. No operational deficiencies are identified as a result of the proposed development.

### 4.5 Left Turn Lane Warrants

Left turn lanes are a means of providing necessary storage space for left turning vehicles at intersections. For this impact study, procedures prescribed by the WSDOT Design Manual Exhibit 1310-7a were used to ascertain storage requirements at the proposed access location on Jackson Highway. Based on forecast 2026 PM peak hour volumes with project traffic - a left turn lane would not be warranted at the proposed access. Refer to the appendix for the warrant nomographs.

## 5. SUMMARY

Jackson Highway Tiny Homes is a proposed tiny home community comprising 56 dwelling units located in the Chehalis Urban Growth Area of Lewis County. The subject site is located northeast of Jackson Highway on a cumulative, undeveloped 8.34-acres within tax parcel \#'s: 01780800-1044; \&-1006. A conceptual site design illustrating the proposed access extending northeast from Jackson Highway and dwelling unit layout is illustrated in Figure 2. In total, approximately 410 average weekday daily trips can be anticipated as a result of the development with 26 AM ( 6 inbound / 20 outbound) peak hour trips and 31 PM (19 inbound / 12 outbound) peak hour trips.

Existing level of service (LOS) is summarized in Table 1 and indicates Jackson Highway \& Logan Hill Road operating with delays of LOS B. For forecast analyses, a five-year horizon was evaluated to asses impacts under future conditions. Table 3 summarizes forecast 2026 PM peak hour LOS delays without and with the project. Forecast 2026 conditions are shown to operate satisfactorily with LOS B conditions indicating no operational deficiencies. A left turn lane was found to not be warranted at the proposed access intersection on Jackson Highway.

Based on the analysis above, no mitigation is identified at this time.

Please feel free to contact me should you have further questions or concerns.

JACKSON HIGHWAY TINY HOMES TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

APPENDIX

## LEVEL OF SERVICE

The following are excerpts from the 2016 Highway Capacity Manual - Transportation Research Board Special Report 209.

Six LOS are defined for each type of facility that has analysis procedures available. Letters designate each level, from A to $F$, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst. Each level of service represents a range of operating conditions and the driver's perception of those conditions.

## Level-of-Service definitions

Level of service A represents primarily free-flow operations at average travel speeds, usually about 90 percent of the free-flow speed for the arterial classification. Vehicles are seldom impeded in their ability to maneuver in the traffic stream. Delay at signalized intersections is minimal.

Level of service $B$ represents reasonably unimpeded operations at average travel speeds, usually about 70 percent of the free-flow speed for the arterial classification. The ability to maneuver in the traffic stream is only slightly restricted and delays are not bothersome.

Level of service Crepresents stable operations; however, ability to maneuver and change lanes in midblock locations may be more restricted than in LOS B, and longer queues, adverse signal coordination, or both may contribute to lower average travel speeds of about 50 percent of the average free-flow speed for the arterial classification.

Level of service $D$ borders on a range in which small increases in flow may cause substantial increases in approach delay and hence decreases in arterial speed. LOS D may be due to adverse signal progression, inappropriate signal timing, high volumes, or some combination of these. Average travel speeds are about 40 percent of free-flow speed.

Level of service $E$ is characterized by significant delays and average travel speeds of onethird the free-flow speed or less. Such operations are caused by some combination of adverse progression, high signal density, high volumes, extensive delays at critical intersections, and inappropriate signal timing.

Level of service Fcharacterizes arterial flow at extremely low speeds, from less than onethird to one-quarter of the free-flow speed. Intersection congestion is likely at critical signalized locations, with long delays and extensive queuing.

## Heath \& Associates

PO Box 397
Puyallup, WA 98371
File Name : 4690a
Site Code : 00004690
Start Date : 7/21/2021
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Passenger + - Heavy

|  | Logan Hill Rd Southbound |  |  | Jackson Hwy Westbound |  |  | Jackson Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | App. Total | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 9 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 43 | 45 | 75 | 15 | 90 | 144 |
| 04:15 PM | 8 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 37 | 39 | 68 | 14 | 82 | 131 |
| 04:30 PM | 8 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 39 | 40 | 75 | 18 | 93 | 145 |
| 04:45 PM | 10 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 25 | 27 | 66 | 15 | 81 | 124 |
| Total | 35 | 12 | 47 | 7 | 144 | 151 | 284 | 62 | 346 | 544 |
| 05:00 PM | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 37 | 38 | 54 | 21 | 75 | 119 |
| 05:15 PM | 19 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 36 | 38 | 63 | 11 | 74 | 132 |
| 05:30 PM | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 35 | 36 | 69 | 11 | 80 | 122 |
| 05:45 PM | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 25 | 27 | 58 | 16 | 74 | 107 |
| Total | 34 | 4 | 38 | 6 | 133 | 139 | 244 | 59 | 303 | 480 |
| Grand Total | 69 | 16 | 85 | 13 | 277 | 290 | 528 | 121 | 649 | 1024 |
| Apprch \% | 81.2 | 18.8 |  | 4.5 | 95.5 |  | 81.4 | 18.6 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.7 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 27.1 | 28.3 | 51.6 | 11.8 | 63.4 |  |
| Passenger + | 68 | 16 | 84 | 13 | 275 | 288 | 526 | 121 | 647 | 1019 |
| \% Passenger + | 98.6 | 100 | 98.8 | 100 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.6 | 100 | 99.7 | 99.5 |
| Heavy | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| \% Heavy | 1.4 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

## Heath \& Associates

PO Box 397
Puyallup, WA 98371
File Name : 4690a
Site Code : 00004690
Start Date : 7/21/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Logan Hill Rd Southbound |  |  | Jackson Hwy Westbound |  |  | Jackson Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | App. Total | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 9 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 43 | 45 | 75 | 15 | 90 | 144 |
| 04:15 PM | 8 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 37 | 39 | 68 | 14 | 82 | 131 |
| 04:30 PM | 8 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 39 | 40 | 75 | 18 | 93 | 145 |
| 04:45 PM | 10 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 25 | 27 | 66 | 15 | 81 | 124 |
| Total Volume | 35 | 12 | 47 | 7 | 144 | 151 | 284 | 62 | 346 | 544 |
| \% App. Total | 74.5 | 25.5 |  | 4.6 | 95.4 |  | 82.1 | 17.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 875 | . 500 | . 734 | . 875 | . 837 | . 839 | . 947 | . 861 | . 930 | . 938 |
| Passenger + | 34 | 12 | 46 | 7 | 143 | 150 | 284 | 62 | 346 | 542 |
| \% Passenger + | 97.1 | 100 | 97.9 | 100 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.6 |
| Heavy | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% Heavy | 2.9 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |



# Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) 

(220)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 29
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 168
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.32 | $4.45-10.97$ | 1.31 |

Data Plot and Equation


Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition - Institute of Transportation Engineers

## Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)

(220)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 42
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 199
Directional Distribution: 23\% entering, $77 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.46 | $0.18-0.74$ | 0.12 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)

(220)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 50
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 187
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.56 | $0.18-1.25$ | 0.16 |

## Data Plot and Equation



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 160 | 0 | - | 0 | 591 | 157 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 157 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 434 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.11 | - | - | - | 6.41 | 6.23 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.41 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.41 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.209 | - | - | - | 3.509 | 3.327 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1425 | - | - | - | 471 | 886 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 874 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 655 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1425 | - | - | - | 445 | 886 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 445 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 825 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 655 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.4 |  | 0 |  | 10.5 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1425 | - | - | - | 707 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.046 | - | - | - | 0.071 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | - | - | 10.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $l$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 187 | 0 | - | 0 | 672 | 183 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 183 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 489 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.11 | - | - | - | 6.41 | 6.23 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.41 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.41 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.209 | - | - | - | 3.509 | 3.327 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1393 | - | - | - | 423 | 857 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 851 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 619 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1393 | - | - | - | 396 | 857 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 396 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 797 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 619 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.3 |  | 0 |  | 10.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1393 | - | - | - | 661 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.051 | - | - | - | 0.082 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.7 | 0 | - | - | 10.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.3 |



| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 192 | 0 | - | 0 | 569 | 188 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 188 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 381 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.11 | - | - | - | 6.41 | 6.21 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.41 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.41 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.209 | - | - | - | 3.509 | 3.309 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1388 | - | - | - | 485 | 857 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 846 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 693 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1388 | - | - | - | 480 | 857 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 480 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 837 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 693 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.2 |  | 0 |  | 10.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBRSBLn1 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1388 | - | - | - | 646 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.009 | - | - | - | 0.02 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | - | - | 10.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0.1 |

Exhibit 1310-7a Left-Turn Storage Guidelines: Two-Lane, Unsignalized



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ City of Chehalis Engineering Development Code Chapter 12.04.280 Streets, Section M. Sight Obstruction. Based on 40 mph roadway and two-lane roadway, 410 feet of intersection sight distance is required.

[^1]:    2 Signalized Intersections - Level of Service
    Control Delay per
    Level of Service $\quad \underline{\text { Vehicle (sec) }}$
    $\mathrm{A} \quad \leq 10$
    B $\quad>10$ and $\leq 20$
    C $\quad>20$ and $\leq 35$
    D $\quad>35$ and $\leq 55$
    E $\quad>55$ and $\leq 80$
    F $>80$
    Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Chehalis Comprehensive Plan 2017: Chapter 3 Land Use, pg. 4

